

# A DISCOURSE

COMMEMORATIVE OF THE LATE

Dr. Charles Hodge.

DELIVERED IN THE

CHAPEL OF THE COLLEGE OF NEW JERSEY

BY REQUEST OF THE PRESIDENT,

OCTOBER 13<sup>TH</sup>.

AND REPEATED IN THE

FIRST PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH.

PRINCETON,

AT THE REQUEST OF ITS SESSION,

OCTOBER 20<sup>TH</sup>. 1878.

BY

LYMAN H. ATWATER.

---

PRINCETON:  
CHARLES S. ROBINSON, PRINTER.  
1878.

Educ U 6680.139  
↓

HARVARD COLLEGE LIBRARY  
THE GIFT OF  
MRS. D. C. HARRIES  
APRIL 14, 1922

PRINCETON, N. J., October 26, 1878.

REV. DR. ATWATER.

*Dear Sir* :—At a meeting of the congregation of the First Church held immediately after the delivery of your discourse commemorative of Dr. Hodge, we were appointed a committee to request from you a copy for publication. We earnestly hope that you will comply with this request.

W. HENRY GREEN,  
H. C. CAMERON,  
J. H. WIKOFF,  
EDWARD HOWE.

---

PRINCETON, Oct. 28, 1878.

REV. DR. GREEN AND OTHERS, COMMITTEE.

*Gentlemen* :—It gives me pleasure to comply with the request of the congregation of the First Church, tendered through you, and to furnish a copy of the Discourse referred to for publication.

Yours, with high regard,

LYMAN H. ATWATER.

## DISCOURSE.

---

PSALM XXXVII : 37.—*Mark the perfect man, and behold the upright ; for the end of that man is peace.*

The festivities of our last Annual Commencement, in many of their features unusually gratifying to the friends of the College, and of high christian education, were deeply shadowed at their close by the death of CHARLES HODGE. His funeral obsequies formed the last public exercises of that otherwise festive week, and were attended during the waning hours of its last day, by a large concourse from this and other places eager to honor his memory. “Devout men carried him to his burial and made great lamentation over him ;” “sorrowing most of all that they should see his face no more,” and that “a prince and a great man in Israel had fallen.”

As was meet, his body was reverently and tenderly laid by sons and nephews in its last resting place amid the sepulchres of the men who have made Princeton famous, and added renown to our country in letters, statesmanship, and above all, in the vindication and propagation of the christian faith. There may he sleep in peace with Edwards, Davies, Witherspoon, Dod, Miller, the Alexanders, and others scarcely less illustrious, whom we cannot stop to name, till awaked by the voice of the Archangel, and the trump of God, to the resurrection of glory.

It is scarcely an exaggeration to say, that of the great and good men whose lives have adorned and whose deaths have shrouded this town, none in all its annals have, as related to Princeton, on the whole, filled so large a space in their lives or made so large a void by their death. This is not saying that none others connected with one or the other of the institutions here have been endowed with equal might of intellect, depth of piety, zeal for God and truth, which have made them signal blessings to the church and the world, and enabled them also to produce works of world-wide and immortal fame which are still their monuments. I myself have heard Dr. Hodge say of a junior colleague, a very prodigy of sanctified genius cut down in his prime, when he had already acquired celebrity on both sides of the ocean, what many others would heartily concur in: "I regard DR. JOSEPH ADDISON ALEXANDER as incomparably the greatest man I ever knew, incomparably the greatest man our church has ever produced." But none of them were so favored both with that felicitous combination of gifts, aptitudes, and more than all, opportunities which not only made him great among the foremost of his generation, but also so remarkably identified that greatness with Princeton.

Although born in Philadelphia, the whole of his long life after his early childhood was spent here, save a year or two of absence in Europe to prepare for his professorship in our Theological Seminary. Here he received a considerable part at least of his preparation for our College which he entered in 1812, in his 15th year, having been born Dec. 28, 1797. Thus at his death he had lived out half his eighty-first year, very nearly three score and ten of which, or the ordinary full age of man, had been passed in this place, and nearly

the whole of it in close connection with the Institutions on which he shed lustre, and which give to Princeton its renown. He graduated at the College with the highest honor in 1815—a distinction easily won, not by indolence, not by irregular and fitful efforts, now intermitted and now overstrained, but by that steady, normal application which was in a sense spontaneous and almost effortless to his mighty intellect. Then, as afterwards, he worked not so much for the worldly honor which follows duty as the shadow follows the substance, but simply that he might do what ought to be done in order to that knowledge and culture which conscience enjoins as the true end of study. Here he lived and died. Here he trained thousands to be able to teach others also in the sacred ministry. Here he prepared those publications which, whether in a periodical or more permanent form, exerted at the time a prodigious influence upon the church and the world, in this land, throughout christendom, and in those ends of the earth penetrated by missionaries, many of which still live and will continue to live, some of them through the centuries. At his death he had come to be nearly the oldest, and quite the most illustrious of the graduates of the College; the oldest, most distinguished, and while his vigor was yet unabated, the most influential of her trustees. By the great length of his brilliant career, and that career wholly in this town, including more than a half century of unceasing authorship of productions honored over the entire globe and of cumulative fame and influence, he had opportunities which others, whose lives were cut short in their meridian, or if not so, were spent only in part here, however otherwise his equals, had not, to make Princeton known in this and other lands as a centre of thought and power in the literary and religious world.

Early in his senior college year he, with a classmate, made a profession of religion in the First, then the only Presbyterian Church in Princeton, where he continued to commune for 63 years, accompanied or followed in due time by his children and children's children. This is noteworthy here, because at that time there were few professors of religion in the College. Many students were even found to be destitute of Bibles. These had to be supplied to them by the Nassau Bible Society, so fashionable were scepticism and scoffing among the young men of that day. This step illustrated the courage and decision of character which were characteristic of his after life, and formed one great source of his influence. It was the outcome under God of the christian training and nurture given him by his admirable mother, who, left a widow while he and his brother Hugh were yet infants, had so brought them up in the nurture and admonition of the Lord, that to pray became as natural to the young Charles as to breathe, and that therein he, as he has said, seemed to himself in boyhood to be talking with God as a father and friend. He always, like all truly noble men, especially if fatherless, ascribed all in himself that was of any worth either in the sight of God or man, instrumentally to the influence of this excellent christian mother. And if she was a blessing so inestimable to her sons, might it not have been the pride of the most royal mother on earth to have borne and reared two such sons as Charles and Hugh L. Hodge, the latter the beloved christian physician, and great medical professor and author? When was the aspiration more beautifully realized, so happily expressed by Wordsworth in the lines,

“ The child is father of the man,  
And I could wish my days to be  
Bound each to each by natural piety.”

Still another reason why his profession of religion at this time deserves notice here is, that it was followed by the great religious revival of 1815 in the College, which broke the spell of indifferentism, irreligion and infidelity, that had so long struck its leprous taint through the institution. It changed the whole tone here for the better in these respects. Its subjects included some of the chief luminaries of the American Church in various communions. Bishops JOHNS and McILVAINE of the Episcopal Church were among them. They were also life-long friends of Dr. Hodge, whose catholic sympathies and friendship warmly embraced all true christians, whether within or beyond the pale of his own church. It deserves attention that this revival was consequent upon his making this profession, because there is no way in which those who possess religion in college or elsewhere can do greater good, than by professing it and adorning their profession. It has often been among the first fruits, foretokenings, or predisposing causes of genuine revivals, that those who at heart love Christ let their light shine in visible profession and holy example more conspicuously than ever before. God alone knows how much that great revival of 1815 in this College may have been instrumentally due to the open and formal profession of religion by CHARLES HODGE, at a time when iniquity abounded, the love of many waxed cold, and the very atmosphere was murky with spiritual death.

While yet a college lad, his extraordinary promise was observed by that great light of our church, and sagacious discerner of men, Dr. ARCHIBALD ALEXANDER, who even then fixed upon him for the chair of Biblical Instruction and Exegesis about to be established in the Seminary. Dr. Alexander discerned in him not only

the average promise which results from a foremost rank in a college class, but those special endowments which foretokened his ripening into eminent fitness for a theological professorship. In one of the only two interviews which I ever had with that wonderful man, he said to me that Dr. Hodge's mind resembled Calvin's in everything but its sternness. The result was, that, after spending three years as a student in the Theological Seminary from 1816 to 1819, with a single year intervening, he became in 1820 Assistant Teacher of the Original Languages of Scripture. Two years later, at the age of 25, and 56 years ago, the General Assembly appointed him full professor of Oriental and Biblical Literature. In 1840 he was transferred from this to the Chair of Didactic Theology, a department for which he had shown extraordinary fitness in his exegetical instructions, and in various publications that evinced his power and established his fame on both sides of the ocean. In this he continued active to the day of his death, with a duration and eminence of service having no parallel in this age, and none except in cases the rarest among the great theologians of history.—the Augustines, the Anselms, Calvins, Turretins and Edwardses, those great expositors, formulators, and defenders of the christian faith. He realized pre-eminently his own ideal of a teacher, which he once set forth to me in one of those sentences so characteristic of him, having the power of aphorisms that in an instant let in a flood of light upon a subject, and contain the seeds of thought: "The requisites of a good teacher are Knowledge, Ability, Fidelity and Tact," adding, that "many who have the first three, fail for want of the last." Few have even written and published so voluminously. Fewer still have published so much which not only exerted immense influence at the

time of publication, but continues to have a recognized value and authority for a generation or more afterwards, including what is likely to live through the ages. He made himself felt with prodigious power in standard volumes historical, exegetical, philosophical, all culminating in his great work on Systematic Theology. This, in the range and completeness of its topics, its mastery of the literature, the living and past issues, the collateral philosophies and scientific questions pertaining to them, the thorough analysis, the clear and adequate presentation and discussion of subjects involved, is for this age what Francis Turretin's great work was for his. It has a value which will render it long indispensable for all who make theology a study, even if they be upholders of variant or contrary systems.

Not only did he make himself a great power in the standard treatises and volumes he gave to the world, but also in that periodical literature, which, during this century, has become the great power of the press. Appreciating its vast influence, he founded in 1825 the BIBLICAL REPERTORY, to which he afterwards added the title of PRINCETON REVIEW. This he edited until 1869. During this period of 44 years, in which Quarterly Reviews reached the zenith of their influence, he made this journal a great power in the church and the world. It is doubtful whether any other American Quarterly was so powerful in impressing the opinions of its conductors on those whose province it is to teach others also, and through these, upon the church and the world, not only on theological, but on philosophical, ethical, social and miscellaneous topics. Aided by a small galaxy of contributors, each powerful and brilliant in his way, he was its constant head. If great in other ways, he was conspicuously great as a Reviewer.

Some of his salient articles would not suffer by comparison with Macaulay's in power and influence. The church and world will not willingly let them die.

Among the topics upon which he cast a powerful light in this Review were the relation of the Church to Slavery, on which he took ground equi-distant from Pro-slaveryism on the one hand, and those who maintained that slaveholders as such should be excluded from the church on the other; on Temperance, favoring Total Abstinence as a matter of expediency, but insisting that it could not be made a test of Christian character or a term of communion; in regard to voluntary societies, opposing alike those who would commit to them the evangelistic work of the church, in preference to church boards, and those who would discountenance all voluntary associations for any purpose whatsoever; in regard to the Scriptural idea of the church, showing that to the church invisible, consisting of all the redeemed, the scriptural definitions, promises and prerogatives pertain, while they pertain to the church visible, or to any organized church, just and only so far as it includes and manifests, makes the profession and has visibly the marks of the "sanctified in Christ Jesus, called to be saints," I. Cor. 1:2; in regard to Scriptural exegesis, accepting all true corrections of the received version and its interpretation which Germany could afford, but repudiating the extravagances and destructive rationalism which so many were eagerly importing from that country. In regard to theology, he repelled Pelagian, Sabellian, Socinian, and other rationalistic assaults upon the standards of his church, while guarding against the hyper-Calvinism that sometimes provoked or palliated them. The field of politics he entered only at its points of contact with ethical and scriptural doctrine,

as Sabbath observance, marriage, divorce, and especially the attempt to sever the country in twain by force of arms. Whenever on these or similar subjects a *nodus dignus* occurred, he did not hesitate to make all parties know his mind, and uttered no uncertain sound.

These varied and pre-eminent services to the church and the world had a remarkable recognition in this place six years ago, on the occasion of Dr. Hodge's reaching the semi-centenary of his Professorship in the Seminary. This event was celebrated by a vast assemblage of his pupils, and other clergymen and laymen, including distinguished Professors and representatives of other institutions, and friends of religion and learning in this and other lands. These, with multitudes unable to come, were eager to do him honor, and make thankful and reverent acknowledgment of his great services to themselves personally, as well as to the church and cause of God, in defending the faith once delivered to the saints. This sacred ovation has had no recent if any parallel, except in the case of a similar tribute paid to the veteran theologian Tholuck in Germany. It was entirely spontaneous, and heartfelt. While gratefully appreciated by the illustrious subject of it, it drew forth from him marked manifestations, not of self-complacency, but of unaffected humility.

Let us now consider that in the make-up of this great man in view of which it is peculiarly proper that we should mark him as the "perfect," and behold him as the "upright," in his life and death, for his "end was peace." Sinless perfection is not here meant. Infallibility is not meant. There is not a just man on earth that sinneth not. No man would more sternly resent the ascription of such traits to himself. But a relative

perfection is meant, consisting in the completeness and symmetrical adjustment of all the elements that belong to the highest manhood, intellectual, moral and religious, and this withal as respects their relations to the surroundings of a man's life, or, to use a current word, his environment. He obeyed his conscience wherever it led him, in all fealty to his God, and faithfulness to men. The attribute of being perfect, applied to him peculiarly in this respect, that he was almost unexampled in his freedom from those infirmities which disfigure and belittle so many otherwise great men, when unveiled by intimate association with them. His unusually long life and opportunities enhanced this relative perfection. Raised to high and responsible stations at a very early age, which he honorably filled, he continued in distinguished and effective service to the end of a life thus lengthened out. He lived too when the questions agitating the church and society, and the work needing to be done to which he was called, furnished the grandest opportunity for the full tasking and development of his peculiar gifts, so that if he moulded the age, the age moulded him. His life and work were fully finished and rounded, replete with opportunity well improved, without untimely ruptures or premature ending.

We proceed then, as briefly as may be, to contemplate the intellectual, moral, and religious constituents of the man, along with any auxiliary accidental circumstances which drew forth this manhood to that fulness and pre-eminence by which it was distinguished.

His intellect was imperial, even in its single faculties, but much more so in their harmonious blending, their mutual co-working and inter-working, their power or habit of sustained application which sufficed to develop without consuming them. At the very thresh-

old this appears in the amount, excellence and influence of his productions ; in the clearness, fulness and vividness of his unfoldings of the profoundest and abstrusest topics. To delineate his faculties separately, while requisite to a full appreciation of them, nevertheless has the awkward anatomical effect of severing them from that living connection with the whole which is so essential to their beauty and power. It is like applying the prism to the sunbeam. While it shows the colors of the rainbow, each beautiful in itself, it dissolves the pure white light, not to say heat, of the sun.

It is hardly necessary to say that his mind was intensely logical, not in the sense of mere expertness in the forms of the syllogism,—though here he was not inexpert,—but as by nature and training it conformed to the laws of rational thought, especially in their application to concrete discussion ; in analysis and synthesis as involved in the processes of generalization, classification, division, definition ; of discovering and setting forth in crystal clearness the true question, eliminating irrelevant issues, clearing away all perplexing obscurity, indistinctness and inadequacy of statement in which less competent thinkers had involved it ; so arraying in consummate order proofs of the position taken and disproofs of the contrary as to give his argument a cumulative and resistless momentum, which sufficed to impart fresh inspiration to friends, and either to convert or weaken adversaries. This result was greatly furthered by the mingled earnestness, candor and charity with which he inculcated his views, and which were sterling traits in his character.

It should be said, however, that he was no man of mere logic, engrossed with bare forms or arts of thinking, without matter to think about, or staples from which to hang his chains of argument. No man ever more

magnified those intuitions, or intuitive truths and convictions, which constitute the essence of reason, and only basis of reasoning. I have known men of even superlative acumen most expert as logical fencers, but extremely slow in recognizing those intuitive principles without which no sound reasoning is possible; whose arguments shielded or pierced only the ghosts of truth or error. Dr. Hodge was especially quick to discern moral and religious axioms—those first truths which are the light of all our seeing, so shining in their own light that no outside proof can make them plainer, while they themselves underlie all moral or religious truth reached by any kind of demonstration, testimony or revelation. That there is a radical distinction between right and wrong; that love, reverence, and obedience towards God, justice, kindness, truth and honesty towards men are binding; that sin deserves punishment, and virtue approbation and reward;—these propositions are their own evidence, or there is no evidence for them or any other moral or religious principles. Revelation even presupposes such intuitive knowledge, and would be as impossible to those destitute of it, as is the seeing of sun-light by the blind. “If the light that is in thee be darkness, how great is that darkness?” How can moral and religious truth be disclosed to those without conscience, the first elements of moral reason and religious insight?

Here too it deserves emphatic mention that his intellect was remarkably free from that narrow, one-sided ultraism in pushing single truths to extreme consequences, which arises from blindness to related and qualifying truths, and so often makes the mere partisan, radical, sceptic, or bigot. His understanding was broad, judicial, undergirded with a strong common-sense which regulated its judgments. It was

conspicuously free from idiosyncrasies, hobbies, crotchets, extravagances. He had no sympathy with those who followed their logic, as they boasted, "down Niagara," even if it hurled them athwart their moral intuitions, and other indubitable truths. He deemed it quite time when some sharpness or smartness of apparent reasoning brought one to this pass, to pause, and seek the flaw in the premises or the links of deduction from them; for fallacy there is, and fallacy there must be, in every process of supposed reasoning, which subverts the first principles of all reason and morals.

The vast learning of Dr. Hodge shows a prodigious memory in due adjustment to his other faculties. Some disparage memory; others exaggerate it as the crowning faculty. Both err. It needs to be in due proportion to the other faculties. If greatly in excess, it makes the mere pedant, capable only of an awkward parade of his stores of undigested knowledge. If in defect, the mind withers for lack of nutriment, for it cannot get growth and vigor from mere shadowy forms of thought, or shrivelled spectres without the matter and substance of knowledge.

Many think of Dr. Hodge as a dry reasoner or polemic without imagination. But in truth he had a very powerful imagination. Without some fair measure of this constructive faculty, which "mediates truth to the mind through beauty," no man, however mighty as a reasoner, can put his reasonings in such a costume as to sway the minds of his fellow-men. In the power of apt comparison and telling illustration Dr. Hodge was sometimes almost peerless. By a single metaphor he would often flash a light through a confused controversy which settled it. Many single passages of this kind could be culled from his writings, which not only threw a glow and a charm over them beyond the dry

light of bare argumentation, but, at a single thrust, slew pages of sophisms and paralogisms.

But it is not a view of his intellect, taken piecemeal, or in insulated fragments, that best exhibits its might. It is the whole moving, "according to the effectual measure of the working of every part," in the actual treatment of great and varied subjects, that best displays its imperial force. He so tasked it in continuous application, as to develop the fulness of its strength, without that suicidal overstraining of it, which has prematurely wrecked many a noble mind.

Beyond all doubt what invigorated and ennobled his intellect, scarcely less than his emotional and moral being, was his implicit faith in and obedience to the Word of God. This reveals the Infinite and Perfect One beyond all other manifestations of Himself;—even Him in whom all ideals converge, from whom they emerge; whose mind planned and controls the universe, and impresses itself on nature, providence and grace; God in the person of the Incarnate Son showing the brightness of his glory and the express image of his person, the very fulness of His Godhead; in whom slain, risen, reigning, Head of the church militant and triumphant, Head over all things to the church, all things in heaven and earth, through all time, in all space, in all worlds, shall be gathered, headed up, into one. Even thrones, principalities and powers, angels and archangels, all shall centre in Him as the first and the last, by whom and for whom are all things, "that in all things he might have the pre-eminence." Now, to receive this word is to receive the true principle of the universe; its source, method, and end; the Way, the Truth, and the Life; the Adorable Trinity in Unity, "of whom, through whom, to whom, are all things, to whom be glory forever."

It is needless to argue that the intellect supremely guided by this chart and compass, is moving in the grand lines and orbits in which the universe moves, and which are in the direction of all truth, the pathways which all truth-finders tread. It stretches the mind to the contemplation of the Infinite and Eternal, the grandest ideals, character, and destiny. It is indeed to the intellect just what the compass is to the navigator, that, wanting which, the wisdom of this world is foolishness with God, and those who profess themselves to be wise, become fools. It is only by celestial observations, Coleridge well observes, that terrestrial charts can be scientifically constructed.

It was not merely that he accepted or revered the Word in general, but believing that "all Scripture is given by inspiration of God," he accepted it in all its fulness, and yielded undoubting submission to its teachings, no matter how insolvable to human reason. This gave it its expansive, tonic, and gymnastic power over his intellect. Its infinite truths, became truth-powers in his soul, energizing, vitalizing it, subliming it to an ethereal mould, and glassing it for celestial surveys immeasurably beyond any sweep of the unaided eye. Herein he did not abjure, but vastly exalted his reason, by opening it to the illumination of truths the most exalted. As a rational being, he could not and would not accept contradictions. But within this limit he would, as he was wont to say, "go blindly," in receiving those revealed truths, "not seen" or discernible by mere sense, reason, or any unaided natural faculty, of which faith was the only "evidence." In his own words: "The first and most indispensable condition of piety is submission,—blind, absolute, entire submission of the intellect, the conscience, the life, to God. This is blind, but not irrational. It is the submission of a sightless

child to an all seeing Father ; of a feeble, beclouded intelligence to the Infinite intelligence.”\* He put this yoke upon himself, so that he might “learn of Christ and find rest to his soul.” So Abraham went out at the command of God, “not knowing whither he went.” So if any man “seemeth to be wise in this world, let him become a fool, that he may be wise.” Thus alone does the soul open itself to the fulness of God, the wealth of divine truth, and the scope of divine illumination. In God’s light we see light. In one of those great review articles which, once read, is not easily forgotten, he thus rebuked a brilliant genius assuming the role of reconstructing systems of theology which he little understood. “Machiavelli was accustomed to say there are three classes of men ; one who see things in their own light; another who see them when they are shown ; and a third who cannot see them even then. We invite Dr. B—— to resume his place with us in the second class. By a just judgment of God, those who uncalled, aspire to the first, lapse into the third.”†

Passing now to the emotional element in Dr. Hodge’s character, we need dwell hardly for a moment on its blended strength, warmth, gentleness, delicacy and geniality. Gigantic in his manhood, tender as a woman, unaffected and artless as a child, never deaf to the cry of an infant or a beggar, he was firm as the mountains when any great principle was at stake. More than once he lifted his voice and his pen unflinchingly against overwhelming majorities, not only in the world, but in the church of his love, and of which he was the pride, breasting a cataract of inflamed antagonism, which reminded one of “Athanasius

\* *Princeton Review*, Vol. XXVI. p. 138.

† *Princeton Review*, 1849, p. 262.

asius against the world." His temperament, ordinarily calm, easily became impassioned, and kindled up to fervors proportioned to the magnitude of the occasion exciting it. He was at once charitable and catholic, at an equal remove from indifferentism and bigotry.

We may not wholly pass by those faculties which are at once intelligent and emotional,—conscience and taste. In regard to the latter, while he was quick to perceive genuine beauty and loathe the spurious pretense of it in every sphere, there is time to refer to it only as it evinced itself in his own productions. These in their kind were models. Whether in philosophy, exegesis, theology, or those ethico-political and miscellaneous topics to which his wondrous versatility of mind sometimes led him, he showed in a remarkable degree the power to meet that first essential of beauty,—saying the right thing in the right place, and the best things in the best manner. If in most cases the strength of his writings was their conspicuous feature, it was when their strength was beauty, even as strength and beauty are in the sanctuary. He was severely simple and chaste in his standards. Pomp, swell, tinsel glitter of style were his abomination. Like Carlyle he held that affectation is the bane of manners and literature, as hypocrisy is of religion. In the region however of sentiment, and of the more strictly æsthetic in literature, passages of exquisite beauty as well as sublimity, very gems, are often found in his writings. Among these I may refer to that epitaph in yonder cemetery which marks the resting place of one, whose death made an aching void in his heart and his home.

If I may say a word in regard to his oratorical powers, which frequently appeared at some disadvantage, owing in part to an ordinary weakness of voice

arising from a physical malady which was aggravated by vehement utterance ; in part to a habit of insensibly taking the forms of thought and speech of the lecture room to the pulpit and before popular audiences ; in part to his constitutional repugnance to any affectation of fire in the tongue which did not glow in the soul ;—many were the occasions when the true fire in the soul did melt away obstacles of this kind, and burst forth in “thoughts that breathe and words that burn” into the very heart of the audience. This occurred frequently, when unfettered by manuscripts, his soul flowed out so as to electrify his hearers, who in turn rekindled the speaker,—often in those celebrated Sabbath afternoon deliverances in the Oratory, on experimental religion, in which his tearful and pathetic utterances melted every listener. This often occurred too in his familiar addresses at our prayer meetings. But I well remember one instance in which he delivered a written discourse, at the funeral of General Bayard, brought here for burial from the battle-field, to a crowded audience, when he was roused to a style of delivery not inferior to that of the great masters of eloquence.

I will not dwell upon the conscience, always the regal faculty in man by right, if not in fact, or repeat the evidence already given, that it was at the highest in him as to its guiding and impulsive power. He was formed to this standard alike by natural constitution, habitual training and practice, and by the Word and Spirit of God. The conscience was in him the needle that pointed to the true pole. Not that he was never perplexed or mistaken. But if it is given to any of us to know the right and to do it, may I not say, to him more. He discarded all theories which make pleasure, utility, or expediency the foundation of ethics. While

no man could be more prudent, in the proper sphere of prudence, no man could be truer to himself, his conscience, and his God.

All culminated in his christian character, the features of which have been so largely referred to, that little additional delineation of it is needed. His piety was his theology translated into life, and all his theology, theoretical and practical, centred in Christ, who was to him the supreme object of faith, love and devotion, all and in all. In some ages of the church christian affection has been more centred in the Person of Christ. In others it has been more consciously directed to his saving offices. In Dr. Hodge, it was directed to Christ alike and pre-eminently in both aspects, His glorious Person, and His glorious offices. For him to live was Christ, to die was gain, because, as he said in his last known religious utterance, "it was to depart and be with Christ ; to be with him was to see Him ; to see Him was to be like Him." His consummate aspiration was to be in the presence of Him who is at once the image of the Invisible God, and the first-born among many brethren. He was devout without fanaticism, conscientious without blind scrupulosity, faithful in that which was least because faithful from principle ; not neglecting the weightier matters of the law in a morbid devotion to petty punctilios, having his conversation in heaven while quick with wholesome affection and concern for every genuine earthly interest, firm in adherence to principle, but kindly and loving in all human relationships. Indeed there was nothing pertaining to humanity, from the prattle of the babe to the shock of contending armies, the revolutions of empires, and the fortunes of the church, which did not command his interest and attention. He felt himself a man, and that nothing human was alien from him. The benignity of

his temper and life was the true reflex of his theology on its practical side. Whatever imaginations to the contrary as to the tone of that theology any may have entertained, its keynote and central factor was love, *love*, LOVE.

Beginning his christian life in youth, growing in grace and knowledge of God till ripened through a length of years vouchsafed only to the fewest, gathered to the heavenly garner as "a shock of corn ready in its season," he died in the assured hope of the resurrection of the body and the life everlasting, through Him who is the resurrection and the life. He was as good as he was great, and no small part of his greatness was his goodness. Indeed he held that there was no mystic art in doing good ; that the best way to do good was to be good. Even so the teaching of his great life is that the way to a great manhood is to do great and man-worthy things, and this because they are such.

If we cannot equal the mighty dead who yet speak to us from their "sceptred urns," we may at least be inspired by their great examples, and try to imitate their excellence, so far as imitable, while we cherish and do honor to their memory. There is no more ignoble trait, or sure sign of degeneracy, than to forget or lose reverence for the great and good who have departed from us. "MARK THE PERFECT MAN, BEHOLD THE UPRIGHT, FOR THE END OF THAT MAN IS PEACE."