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## S E N A T E

AND
House of REPRESENTATIVES

OFTHE<br>COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA.

THE Clergy of various denominations, in the city of Philadelphia, whofe names are underwritten, oblerving, with much pleafure, that an exifting law of this ftate, for the fuppreffion of vice and immorality, is, by the recommendation of the executive, to become the fubject of legiflative revifion; and impreffed with a fenfe of the duty, which we conceive is incumbent on us, both as minifters of the gofpel, and as members of civil fociety, refpectfully requeft the attention of the legiflature to the following reprefentation and petition.

We reprefent, that the legiflative interpofition is, in our apprehenfion, peculiarly neceffary to make fome effectual provifion for the orderly and religious obfervance of the Lord's day ; for the prevention and punifhment of the profanation of the name of God, and every fpecies of impious imprecation; for regulating and leffening the number of houfes where intoxicating liquors are fold and ufed; far the fuppreffion of all places of gaming and lewd refort; and for the enacting of a law to prevent theatrical exhibitions of every fort. We do, accordingly, móf 'earneftly petition and requeft, that in framing an act againft vice and immorality, you would regard, with peculiar attention, thefe im. portant objects, fo as to prevent the numerous injuries to which our citizens are thereby expofed, in their morals, their health, their property, and their general happinefs.
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We conceive that the folemn intimations of divine Providence, in the late diftrefing calamity which has been experienced in this city, urge upon us, in the moft forcible manner, the duty of reforming every thing which may be offenfive to the Supreme Governot of the univerfe, and of doing every thing which may imprefs on the public mind a regard to his government, his providence, his laws, and his ordinances.

The fubjects to which we have requefted the attention of the legiflature are of confeffed importance; they are, moreover, fubjects which are not fo liable to controverfy, or collifion of opinion, as to render us defirous of entering into any detail of argument in fupport of our petition; excepting only the part which relates to theatrical exhibitions. On this we are aware that a difference of fentiment exifts. Some confiderations are, therefore, fubjoined, relative to this fubject, to which the candid attention of the legiflature is refpectfully folicited.

In reliance on this, we cheerfully fubmit our petition to your wifdom, which, we doubt not, will better direct you to the methods in which its defign may be anfwered, than any which we are able to delineate. Philadelphia, Dec. 19 th, 1793.

Subfcribed by
ROBERT ANNAN, JNO. DICKINS, THOMAS FLEESON, ASHBEL GREEN, FREEBORN GARRETTSON, HENRY HELMUTH, WILLIAM MARSHALL, JOHN MEDER, JOS. PLLMORE; WM. ROGERS, WII LLAM SMTTH, FREDERICK SCHMITT, JOHN B. SMITI, JOSEPH TURNFR. THOMASTUTICK. WM: 'WHTL:
a

THE unfriendly influence of theatrical exhibitions on the morals and focial happinefs of a community arifes, partly from the nature of dramatic compofition, but principally from the circumftances with which a public theatre muft always be accompanied. Let us confider each of thefe.
I. The nature of dramatic compofition as it actually exifs in the Englifh language.

It is not afferted here, that no leffon of virtue can be conveyed in a dramatic form, or that the tank has not fometimes been executed in fact. By confidering the fubject in abftract fpeculation, and by pointing to a few inftances of innocence or excellence in dramatic performances, the advocates of the theatre ufually endeavour to fupport their caufe, againft the arguments with which religion and morality affail it. But this is unfair in argument, and inconclufive for practice. There are in fcience a thoufand fpeculations which have all the femblance of plaufibility and ufefulnefs, which can never be realized in experiment, or which the attempt to realize difcovers to be worthlefs or pernicious. It is the part of wifdom and found policy to difcern not only what is poffible, but what is practicable; not merely what may be conceived, but what, from the actual ftate of things muft be expected, or is known to take place. Guided by this rule, and making, as in all reafon we ought, the great mafs of dramatic compofition now in the Englifh tongue, the fubject of decifion, it is afferted, that the very nature of that compofition is unfavourable to virtue. Let tragedy and comedy be here diftinctly confidered.

In favour of tragedy, it is readily allowed, that móre can be offered, than even partiality itfelf is able to find in her dramatic fifter. But Englifh tragedy is, by its very advocates, defined, as "the conflict of frong pafficns fet before us, in all their violence, producing deep difafters, often irregularly conducted, abounding in action,
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and filling the fpectator with grief.". ${ }^{\prime \cdots}$ This definition, though fufficiently favourable, will not here be controverted. It may, however be obferved, that when the paffions are fet in conflict, victory is fometimes decreed to thofe of the moft unamiable kind, or that the poetic reprefentation and diftribution of excellence, is very different from that which morality or found reafon would affign; that the grief which is produced is fometimes for an undeferving and fometimes for a deteftable object; and that it not unfrequently happens that the fpectator, while he is made to grieve for an unworthy character, is infenfibly inclined to approve or admire it.

Suppofing, however, that this were lefs the cafe than it actually is; fuppofing that the paffions which are cherifhed are good in their kind, it is ftill obvious that they may be exceffive in degree, and that the mind, efpecially of youth, may be injured by this circumftance. If paffion be not tempered and guided by reafon, it will prove pernicious, be it of what defcription it may. But in the moft of tragedies it appears without reftraint; and the effective impre $/ \sqrt{2}$ ion left on the youthful mind is, that it is the mark and proof of fpirit and magnanimity, to give it this indulgence.

Let not this be confidered as fpeculation. It is fact and experiment. Nay, there is even much more in the idea here fuggefted, judging by the effect of there compofitions as it appears in real life, than can readily be defcribed. They are fometimes feen to deftroy all relifh for laborious and manly ftudies, to give falfe apprehenfions of the human character and focial obligations, to cherifh a romantic tafte, and vifionary purfuits, which lead their votary to difdain the duties of his fation: And they are, not unfrequently, in the higheft degree, injurious to domeftic happinefs; producing difquiet, difguft, where tranquility and enjoyment had refided and reigned. That this is the univerfal effect is not pretended. It is not even imarined that no individual can attend a theatre without incurring thefe inconveniencies. But it is maintained that what has been defcribed is a ve.ry common effect on young mina's; and youth compofe a
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very large proportion of thofe who attend theatrical exhibitions; and they, moreover, form that part of the community who fhould have their principles guarded by the moft folicitous care.
Will it then be adked, whether we are to difcard all the noble fpecimens of genius that have been given to the world in the form of tragedy? No: As far as genius has produced ufeful remarks, animated deferiptions, or fkilful developements of the human heart, in this form, let them have their ufe. Let them poffeff the fituation of other works of genịus. Let them be confidered in a fcholaftic light. Let them be ufed for the improvement of tafte. Let them be confulted at proper periods. Let the perufal of them be fubject. ed to the direction, the caution, the felection, and explanation of parents, mafters, and guardians. But let not genius, from a public theatre, make an indifcrinate difplay of its abufed powers, to the injury of the unwary and undifcerning.

As to the Englifh comedy it is, by the advocates of the drama themfekves, when poffeffed of tafte or candour, abandoned, in a great meafure, to the fevereft cenfure. Its profefled object is ridicule. This ridicule is too frequently turned on characters and actions which are virtuous, innocent, or harmlefs. In other inftances, where acknowleged vice is chaftifed, fome other character, poffeffing, perhaps, a fingle good quality, but when taken complexly, as bad or worfe than the one which is condemned, is praifed and fet off, as the model of excellence. Perfons of all defcriptions are frequently led, by this management, to laugh at virtue ; to fport with misfortune or ignorance ; and fometimes to emulate the knave, the profligate, and the prodigal. But the moft deteftable qualities of thefe compofitions are yet unmentioned :-they are indecency and profancnefs. The profeft and notorious debauchee frequently appears as the man of firit, and the object of emulation. When this is not the cafe, the piece is fill often filled up with the moft indecent and profane expreflions and allufions, fo that a perfon who is not loft to every fentiment of delicacy, muft crimfon with
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bluthes at the bare recital of them. What, then, muft be their effect, when accompanied with thofe additions and fignificant gefticulations, with which this kind of wit and eloquence, not uncommonly, (if report be true) receives its enforcement on the ftage? What muft be the influence of fuch entertainments as thefe? Are they not the certain corrupters of the human heart? Muft they not poifon virtue at its very fource? Can a young mind exift in the atmofphere of fuch fentiments, without inhaling the peftilence of vice? It is not eafy to find language to defcribe the abhorrence that fuch exhibitions fhould excite. Yet there has been no exaggeration. It is the general ftrain of Englifh comedy that is under confideration, and Voltaite and lord Kaimes, men not diftinguifhed for theit aufterity of virtue, fpeak in terms not lefs fevere. The former declares, that " the language of Englifh comedy is the language " of debauchery, not of politenefs"-The latter exclaims, "How odious ought thofe writers to be, who " thus fpread infection through their native country, " employing the talents which they have received from " their Maker moft traitoroufly againft himfelf? If the "c comedies of Congreve did not rack him with remorfe " in his laft moments, he muft have been loft to all fenfe "s of virtue."

If it be obferved, that there are fome comedies to which a better character belongs, it will readily be granted : but it mult be remembered, at the fame time, that they are very generally confidered as wanting that poignancy which is neceffary to give them a relifh with the public, and, accordingly, are in little demand. And here it ought not to efcape particular notice, that comedies, and not tragedies, have been the favourites of the American ftage. Whether from the talents of the actors, or tafte of the audience, fo it has happened, that a very great majority of the theatrical exhibitions which have been advertifed in our public papers, are of the comic kind. Is it fo, that in the choice of evils, we are deftined to receive the worft !

Let us now confider,
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II. The circumftances with which a public theatre muft always. be accompanied.

One of thefe is, that the tafte of thofe who moft frequent it muft always be confulted. This deftroys, in practice, all the influence of any attempts which may be made, either by the legiflature or by individuals, to regulate theatrical exhibitions. The favourers of the theatre often endeavour to deftroy the force of thofe remarks, which are made on the general fpirit and tendency of dramatic compofitions, by faying, that pieces of innocence and ufefulnefs are to be found, and may eafily be multiplied; and that judges may be appointed to preclude thofe which are improper and injurious. This meafure, has accordingly, been adopted in this State. But it is not uncandid to fay, that it is a mere temporary expedient, employed to acquire the theatre a flanding among us; which, indeed, may have a partial influence for a fhort fpace, but which is unwelcome to the actors while it continues, and muft, through neceffity, foon be laid afide, or totally difregarded. The judges appointed by law to this hard and fingukar office, cannot, though ever fo well difpofed, regulate the theatre, without deftroying it. There is no rifk in affirming, that there are not in the Englifh language, compofitions enough, efpecially of the comic kind, that have any claim to innocence, to furnifh that variety which the ftage requires to its very exiftence. The confequence of this is that plays, more or lefs inijurious; mult be exhibited, or thofe who bear the expence mult be ruined, for the want of that diverfity which is neceffary to attract attention. If, indeed, the judges could rigouroully and conftantly guard the the-atre-if the compofrtions for it were flrictly and univerfally virtuous or innocent-or, if nothing elfe were permitted there to appear, the necefiity of petitioning againft it would be wholly fuperfeded. It would net only be harmlefs, while it continued, but, in a very flort fpace, it would fink into difufe by its own weight. It is a concern which neceffarily involves a great expence, and large and conftant audiences are effential to its exiftence in a flourihing ftate. Thefe audiences cannot
be compelled, they muft be frongly allured, toiattend. In order to this, their tafte muft be confale tified. Thls tate, in regard to a large madjotity, ever was, andever: will be, fuch, as to demand improper exbibitiont: So that if not only the judges, but the playefs themfelves, were ever, fo defirous of: pieferving the purity of the flage, they could not do it. They would be compelled, either to relinquill the bufinefs altogether, or to fofece their own wifhes, and gratify the de: fires of thole whe give them: their fupport:- This is a ferious factimbuich the hiftory of all theatresy if impartially confulted and examined, will ahuindantly confirmo metheresulated theatre, therefore, is aifpeculative chipnera, which never had, and, from the nature of things, neyet: will haves an actual, or at lebit, a permanent exiltence,: Political ideas; have, indead, peen fometimes prectuded from the tage, but that the moral import of ipieces intended for it, has not been. regarided, the eqifting: mafs of plays, which have actually been exhibited, ia an anconteftible proof; and the fame caufe -yidh contigue to produce the fame effect. If the theatre, therefofe; exift at all, it muft exift as the: fchool of vice. dt muft, if:tolerated, be left to purfue its awn interefts in its own way. In this refpect it is like every:other gainfat bufmefs: Farce it into an unnatural channel and yof fpeedily exhauft its founce. It murf bealeft:to find its own direction, and thus left, it will infinuate pollutionn inte, the minds and morals of thoufands. Thefe confideratidns, fifirely; deferye the moftferious attention and regard of the leginative body.
$\therefore$ A fecond circumftance, which it is not intended to prefs as far as in juftice might be done, relates to the satmordinary: tentrations incident to thofe who devote themfelvesitio the bufinefs of acting an a publick theatre.

Where is the virtuous parent, in whatever circumfances, that would willingly fee a beloved fon or daughter entarion, the tage for life? Does not natural aflection often peevail with players to keep their chilfren, with fedulous care, from the bufinefs which they themfelves purfue? Is it then for the advantage of any
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community,-efpecially for a young and rifing republic; that a bufinefs or a profeflion, thus infulared by the public fentiment, fhould receive the legiffative fanction and encouragement? Is this republicanifin? Does not a regard to civil liberty and the cultivition of pure manners, forbid fuch a meafure? It is. cetainly no odt:jection to the forcc of what has been here fugrefted; that a few inftances have exifted of thofe who, deviting themfelves to the bufinefs now in contemplation; have neverthelefs maintained a flanding in reputable life. Such inflances are only exceptions to a rule, which the extreme ratity of exception itfelf, demonftrates's to be uncommonly :general.

A third circumftance which deferves confideration here. is the diflipation which theatrical exhibitions give to the public mind. It is a well-known fact, that they have, in fome countries, and on fome occations, been made the inftruments of feducing the people from an attention to their political fituation, while their ruin was plotting by tyrants, or invading them from their ene: mies. But without extending our views fo far, all whò are acquainted with a theatre are witneffes, that it cherihes a fpitit of diffipation in domeftic life, extremely unfriendly to happinefs. The devotees of the theatre (and numbers of fuch there will ever be, wherever it is tolerated) will often facrifice to its fafcinations, not ons ly a portion of time exceedingly neceffary and preciou; for the difcharge of relative obligations; but will, fometimes, violate every tie of duty and affection, rather than refinquifh their favourite amufement. 'Youth efpecially are by theatrical exhibitions, not only, as was before fuggefted, rendered impatient of fober and manIy purfuits, but frequently tempred to the groflet diffimulation and the mot lamentable difhonefty. Inchanted with the exhibitions of the ftage, every confideration which interferes with procuring the means of gratification, iss:difregarted; Inftances of theft and deceit, anifring from this caufe, have alfeady appeared in this country.

The private expenfe which is occafioned by theatrical amulements, is a fourth circumftance, that metits attention.

This, indeed, is a confideration which has induced many to confefs that they think a theatre injurious, who pay little regard to any thing befide. They acknowledge that it gives fuch an opportunity, and offers fuch a temptation, for perfons who cannot afford it, to expend their money on amufements, to the injury of themfelves and families; as they cannot approve. This circumftance, therefore, as it is not liable to controverfy, fo it is certainly worthy of the mof ferious confideration. The alluring nature of the entertainments, in queftion, is fo ftrong with fome, and their becoming a matter of fafhion operates fo powerfully on many, that hundreds are drawn to the theatre, who do themfelves and thofe who depend upon them, the moft effential differvice. Families pinched by neceffity, and creditors defrauded of their juft dues, are effects which have already flowed from this caufe in this place. In a young country-in a republican government-where induftry, economy, and frugality, are the fupport of the ftate and the foundation of public happinefs, is it politic; or is - it confiftent with the duty of legiflators, to encourage any thing which tenids to undermine thefe virtues, or to render them lefs general in practice than they otherwife would be?

The reafons on which the foregoing petition is founded have now been ftated, and it is prefumed they are fufficient to authorize a hope that it may be granted.

Some obiections of a fpecious kind, it may be proper fhortly to anfwer.
I. It may be faid that all the reafons affignable againft the exiftence of a theatre, are drawn from the abufe of it; -that it is ${ }^{\prime}$; indeed, liable to abufe; but that, in this refpect, it is only on a footing: with the moft valuable difcoveries or eftabliflhments of fociety.
In replying to this, it is readily admited as a general truth, that the wideft difference exifts between the natural tendency of a thing, and a perverfion or abufe of its defign. But this is not allowed to be applicable to the
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queftion now depending. On the contrary, it is regarded as the ftrong ground of the petition now prefented, that ftubborn fact and abundant experience inconteftibly evince, that theatrical entertainments; taken in connection with their circumftances, tend to the injury of morals, manners, and religion:-that this, therefore, is their natural, - or if only a word be in dif-pute,-their unavoidable tendency.
2. It is moreover faid, that in a free ftate,. every defcription of citizens have a right to purfue, without hindrance, their proper occupation, as long as they do no injury to others; - that thofe who fupport the theatre do not infringe on the privileges of others, and therefore, have a right, as freemen, to exercife their occupation. The general principle here aflumed, is alfo allowed, but the propriety of its application in the prefent inftance, is not admitted. The effential qualification of this principle is, that thofe who wifh to exercife an occupation do no injury to others. Now, it is afferted, that the eftablifhment of a theatre actually injures our citizens in fome of their deareft concerns. The advocates of the theatre, it is realized, deny this; but let it be remembered, that they beg the whole argument in applying the principle, while the effential qualification of it is the very matter in difpute. The ftate has an undoubted right to prohibit every thing that is generally injurious. Hence it prohibits tipling houfes, an undue number of taverns, and all places of lewd refort. And, hence it is lawful and right, if it judge the theatre to be injurious, to prohibit that likewife.
34. For the reafon juft afligned, it is likewife believed, that the objection is altogether invalid, which afferts that people; have a right to fupport: amufements, gratifying and beneficial to themfelves, though there may be many! who abuife them to their own. injury. $:$ Let it be obfenved, that it is :one of the radical principles of focial union, that each inidividual fhall be bounded in his purfuits; by the limits of the public good: . If, therefore,- and this is the point in queftion-the public good does not admit of the amufements of a theatre, no citizen can juffly complain; that he is unduly controuled, by
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being forbidden to lay out his money, or to confult his inclination, by fupporting fuch amufements. On the point itfelf, the legiflature is the proper and competent judge; but the opinion on which the objection is founded, is undoubtedly; unfound, and fubverfixe of all ort der in fociety.
4. It is objected, that many perfons of unqueftioned morals, talents, and tafte, have given their opiniens in favour of a theatre. To this it may forely be replied, that, at leaft as great a number, of the faine defcription, have given their opinions againft it. It is allowed, that the point has been controverted, and there is no topic of political or moral difcuffion which is not open to the fame obfervation. The clearef evidence in favour of any political arrangement has not prevented this difagreenent. The legiflature is the arbiter of the difpute; and ought to decide in favour of that which appears; on the whole, to be fafe: and conducive to the pablic good; and this may often be done, with perfect clear nefs and certainty, though the decifion may be theoretically controverted in a very plauflife smamer.
: 5. It isconfidered by fome, as aninifurmountable obftacle to the granting: of the prayer of the foregoing petition, that the legiflature have already. licensed a theatre;, and that property to a confiderable amount has been ex:pended under the fanction of that act The juftice of this objection canmevet, ititis, preffimed, be maintained, without inyolving a principle; abfurd ini itfelf, and ruinous in its confequences. . If:id legiflature, by error or overfight, do a thing that is wrong, or pafs an aft that is injurious, is the error never to beicotrected, or the act never to be repealed, becaufe individualls have; incurred expence, or entered into emgagements, inder its patronage? 'If, in fuch inftandes, there ican be ino icoundection or repeal; then every legiffature muft eidher beinfallible, or elfe injuftice and iniquity may bee eftablifhed by kenw, without hope of redrefsi But the line bef sight! procedure here, is too plain to be miffed.: Thei confequences ftated, are, indeed; a reafon why the tegiflature fhould be cautions, in giving its fanction to any meafure which Thl lecd to individual expence, and: why fuchi: a niea-

## $\left[\begin{array}{lll}{[5]}\end{array}\right.$

fure thould not be lightly changed. But whenit has actually beeradopted, and its evilis manifeft, duty and equity require, that the ftate fhould indemnify the in: dividutals who have difburfed their noney in a reliance on the unguarded act, and repeal the law which is inproper. This is fully to be underfood as the fyirit: of the petition now before you. It is not defired, that the fubfribers to the theatre fhould forfeit their money :It is requefted, that they fhould receive a reafonable indemnification, and that the building fhould be employed by the ftate for benevolent purpofes: And if the interefts of morals and religion are deeply concerned in fuch a procedure, as it is conceived they are, not only duty but honour requires, that they fhould not be facrificed to confiderations of expence, efpecially when that expence, as in the prefent inftance, cannot be enormous.
6. It may be objected, that in bringing forward this fubject, at the prefent time, an advantage is taken of a tender ftate of the public mind, which, imprefled with a fenfe of the general calamity lately experienced, may be induced to favour a defign which has the femblance, but not the fubftance of reformation, for its bafis. On this point, it may be proper, explicitly to acknowledge and declare, that no attempt ought to be made to induce people, from circumftances of affliction, to do that, which, in their moft unimpaffioned moments, they ought to difapprove. But there are many who have always and uniformly fuppofed and faid, that a theatre was injurious to the moft precious interefts of the community. Such perfons may furely feize, without the imputation of criminality, the favourable moment of doing that, which it was always a public duty to regard. Is it not the moft fuitable improvement that can be made of any afflictive providence, when people are led by it to confider neglected duties, and reform improper practices? To this every clafs and defcription of men are folemnly called-called to exert themfelves, in their feveral places and ftations, to promote and give energy: to fo good a defign, by all the lawful means in their power. Influenced by thefe confiderations, no onc
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fhould be reluctant to acknowkedge that he has exerted himfelf to promote ineformation, that he rejoices to fee that fuch exertions receive the public: countenance, and that tie: thinks they fhould be moll ferioully regarded by thofe who, under God, mut render the work of reformationseffectual.


