

Sept 24. 8

My dear Sir:

Last spring I sent you through Mr Clark a confidential copy of the Com. on the Synopt. Gospels, which you must have received. I hope that the plan and execution meets your approval.

The book has been so long delayed by circumstances beyond my control, that I am exceedingly anxious to publish the first volume. I have no heart to urge the contributors until that is done. If the foundation is once laid I can and shall push the work to speedy completion.

Can you fix any time when John will be completed? If not, I shall advise the publisher so far to depart from the original <sup>plan,</sup> as to issue the New Test. in four small volumes instead of two large ones, without however increasing the number of pages. The <sup>first</sup> vol. would contain Matthew & Mark in 336 pages. The second vol. would embrace Luke & John in about 400 or 450 pages. Vols III & IV. could be taken in hand at once.

What do you think of this plan? Please inform me at your earliest convenience.

I would like to know also whether the

University Presses intend to publish the revised New Test. before the Old is completed. We shall finish the first revision of Revelation at our next meeting this week, and hope to get through the second and final revision of the N. T. till spring.

Dean Stanley is in Boston and receiving deserved attention. He expects to be in N. York Oct. 3. I am anxious to show him as much attention as he is willing to receive. I will invite the Bible Revisers and other scholars to meet him socially in my house, and show him our institutions, libraries, etc.

With kind regards to your family,

I am truly yours,

Philip Schaff

Rev Dr. Moulton;

Cambridge

seen a file in the new Mercury and also about 45  
volumes now little used. <sup>Oct 15, 1881</sup>  
My dear Mr. Harper: <sup>about two days</sup>

I just received your polite note from Newport. <sup>(about practical)</sup>

I am glad that I asked you about <sup>your</sup> the Cycl. enterprise. It gives me an opportunity to decline the responsibility for a possible failure of cooperation.

I did return to you in April last at <sup>your request</sup> the memorandum for reconsideration, and was waiting for a reply ever since. I informed you of the objections I had, namely 1) the postponement of the publication of the new Cycl. for five or seven years, which would amount practically to an abandonment <sup>of the enterprise</sup>, at my time of life. 2) the pecuniary risk on my part.

If these objections could be removed, I was willing to co-operate with Dr. Strong. If not, I am open to negotiations on a new basis, i.e.

1. I am willing to sell out M.S. and apparatus with good will for a reasonable compensation. I have kept my assistants steadily at work and nearly finished Letter C, awaiting a written translation of

every article in the new Herzog and also about as many new titles, mostly Anglo-American topics I can be ready for the printer at two days' notice.

2. I will confine myself to a Church Dictionary (historical, doctrinal & practical) on a reduced scale (say, in 4 vols.) to be begun at once and to be published three years hence or as soon as Dr. Strong's Cyclopaedia is finished, leaving the Bible Dict. exclusively to Dr. Strong, but expect pecuniary advances for actual expenses to the extent of \$2000 per annum, to be deducted from my copyright. My two editorial assistants will cost me \$2400 per annum, and special contributors \$5 per page for each article. I hope that Mr. Clark of Edinburgh will buy a duplicate of the plates of the Church Dictionary, although he contemplates a reproduction of the whole Herzog biblical as well as non-biblical. Dr. Strong may begin at once an abridgement of his Bible Dict. & incorporate the best Biblical art. of the new Herzog. You could then publish two distinct, yet cognate works, each containing 3 or 4 vols.

If either of these proposals suit you, I shall be glad to <sup>communicate</sup> you at such time and offer as may be convenient the translation of

Oct. 39, 18

My dear Abbie:

Woburn,

I send you the introductory matter to  
Conn. on Harib. Please review and  
send it back to me.

I am reluctant however say indebtedness  
to a popular work and can author  
whom I mean heart of. I have therefore  
credited the material arrangement to  
Dr. Dowd who is fair rate authority.  
But you must know that how far this  
is true and make the sentence referring  
will strongly recommend you yourself be quite  
sure of what Dr. More did before I can take  
any notice of it in the paper. Otherwise I will  
have embodied every thing of importance in your  
suspicion.

Very truly yours,

Philip Schaff

Mr. J. W. Harper

Philip Schaff

I am very sorry to hear of the illness  
of Mrs. H., and hope that she may  
soon be restored to health.

Very truly yours,

Philip Shaff

Hon. J. W. Harper

Oct. 30,

My dear Dr Lowrie:

Enclosed I  
send you the introductory matter to  
Corr. on Baile. Please revise and  
send it to the printer.

I am reluctant to owe any indebtedness  
to a popular work and an author  
whom I never heard of. I have therefore  
credited the metrical arrangement to  
Dr Lowrie who is first rate authority.  
But you must vision test how far this  
is true and make the sentence referring  
to it strictly correct. You must also be quite  
sure of what Dr. Moore did before I can take  
any notice of it in the preface. Otherwise I believe  
I have embodied every thing of importance in your  
suggestions. Very truly yours

Philip Shaff

Nov. 1 78

My dear Dr. Washburn:

I accept  
with great pleasure your kind invitation  
to the Broad Church Breakfast  
which you and your friends propose  
to give to the Dean of Westminster in  
the Union League Club Room next  
Monday.

Let us neither eat too much, nor  
drink too much, but be moderate in both.

Very truly

Yours  
Philip Schaff

P.S. May I rely on your article for  
our Bible Review pamphlet? I do not  
want to publish it unless a contributor from  
your able pen. The other contributions are  
all in. The soon you is done the better.

Nov. 1, 78

My dear Dr. Tiffey:

It will  
afford me great pleasure this  
evening to take part in the reception  
of Dean Stanley in your Church  
by the Methodists.

Were John Wesley living now,  
Dean Stanley would invite him  
to preach in Westminster Abbey,  
and he would thereby honor himself  
as much as the great apostle  
of Methodism.

Truly yours

Philip Schaff

of A. Parsons, London. You better send them with your proof sheet of John and ask him strictly to conform to the plan. If the first vol. appears which will be soon I will forward them a copy.

Scrab's is now in press and will be published in two or three weeks. Number 4. of Antislavery are held back on account of the most trying dilatoriness of Dr. Hartcraft who has Number 2. held. Antislavery has been waiting for nearly 2 years. With the vol. (the 24th) changes Com. will be completed. An Index to the P. T. is being prepared and will be sold separately.

I think you may safely begin to print Brown's Constitutions, Angus on Hebrews, Salmon on Peter, etc. as the MS. is wanting. There will be no illustrations in the P. T. We must gain time. The fol. can be altered without much cost. We must finish the New Test., total cover copies of the old, and the sooner we do it the better.

With kind regards to your family, Yours truly  
A. S. Clark, Boston

Nov. 5

My dear Friend:

Your important letter with the extract from Dr. Milligan ever went and yesterday. I showed it at our Dr. Smith and urged him to forward to you, to Dean Howson, and to Queen Square a full list of illustrations on Acton's own as possible so that the printing of Com. on Acts may begin before John is completed.

I fully agree with you that if you are to publish Matthew & Acts in one volume in two volumes as we intend to do here, the second vol. containing John & Acts ought to follow the first within two or three months. This can be done if Acts are printed the printer's hands as soon as you get the list of illustrations which I hope will be forwarded

A  
17  
60

within a week. I signed it long ago and  
repeatedly, but Mr. Thomas was behind  
hand. He has now completed his collection.

Dear Howson who is well known in  
this department should be authorized to  
suggest additional illustrations if desirable,  
and send photographs at once to Mr.  
Scribner to prevent delay.

I see Webb's Commentary is coming,  
but is there volume to be finished this  
year. The Spectator Am., vol. II<sup>nd</sup> of  
Mr. A. T., containing John S. Atk., cannot  
be far off. This rivalry is a serious  
matter. We must make the best of what  
we cannot prevent. You Dr. Whipple  
have reason as well as common  
sense that will soon find out what  
to do for you & Dr. John S. Atk.  
nothing to your hand. No fol. not a single  
Mr. Whipple. Don't let them go on  
so. Dr. I have no objection myself but  
the do not consider you Dr. Whipple  
most desirous. Mr. Scribner ~~for~~ to

allow 25 more pages. Literary conference cannot  
be measured by the yard, and if Dr. Whipple  
Dr. Whipple thinks that the character of the work  
demands more space, their judgment can't be  
allowed its full weight. But nobody can think of  
present brevity which gives mention of friends.

I have just returned from an excursion  
to the grave of my predecessor, Mr. Edward  
Robinson, in Greenwood Cemetery, with Dr.  
Stanley who paid his and his Bethel  
Brothers a visit yesterday. It is a rather  
bare spot. Mr. Stanley was much pleased  
with this station. He will back tomorrow  
to night & Dr. Green will telephone with his  
train to Dr. Green & Dr. Field.

P. S. Since writing the above. Mrs  
Lily of Webster & Co. has been engaged  
and by Mr. Harris a forwarded type.  
I had the letter back to inform you of the  
fact. One copy for keep for yourself  
or the past, one please send to Dr.  
Whipple & one to Dr. Green, New

Nov. 15 8

My dear Dr. Woolsey:

I just  
sent to Dr. Gregory <sup>in despair</sup>, a draft (No. 1)  
for \$50, and inclose the summa  
which you may keep as a receipt.

I wrote to him that it came from  
a friend with the special desire that  
Dr. Gr. should take horse back  
exercise or otherwise take good care  
of his body for the good of the cause  
of sacred learning.

I most heartily sympathize with  
this beautiful charity which Your Kind  
Heart has set in motion. I have written  
to different directions & hope to raise  
\$200 or \$300 within a short time.

Very truly yours

Philip Shaff

Nov. 16

His Highness  
Prince Bismarck.

I beg leave to send Your Highness a copy of a work of my friend and colleague, Prof. Dr. Hitchcock, on Socialism. It gives much in favor, and is acknowledged by the press to be the best treatise America has produced on a subject of deep interest to you.

I congratulate you on your recent legislative triumph over the greatest enemy of modern society and civilization, and thank you in the name of American Christians for your noble confession, in one of your anti-Socialist speeches in the Reichstag, that you would not live a day longer if you could not believe in God and immortality.

Communion is the legitimate child of  
atheism and materialism and can only be  
defeated by a revival of theism and idealism.  
Christian faith and Christian charity which must  
cure the evil from within.

I beg your Highness to accept  
the assurance of profound regard  
with which I have the honor to  
subscribe myself  
Your most obedient servant

Philip Schaff  
Professor of Theology in the Union Theol.  
Seminary at New York.

Nov. 20

My dear Friend.

I have the pleasure to send you, in addition to the \$50 transmitted last week, two drafts, one for \$100 (Receipt No. 417.54) from a friend, and \$50 (Recd. No. 208.77) from a third friend who consider it a great pleasure to make this contribution for the benefit of your health and the promotion of sacred learning. As I wrote to you in my last, you must not feel as being under obligation to any body. The whole movement is carried on so quietly as possible. I hope to send you more shortly.

With great respect

I am truly yours,

Philip Shaff

Mr. Casper René Gregory,  
Wisc.

Nov. 20 8

My dear Mr. Abbott:

I received your favor  
and check for \$50 which I transmit  
by to day's steamer to our friend Dr. Gregor.  
I have already forwarded to him \$250.  
Princeton has been prompt in responding.  
Besides Mrs. Cutts promised \$50, and  
I am informed that Mr. Libby has contributed  
\$100. This would make \$400 in all.

Perhaps I can raise it to \$500. This  
will be a very great relief to him and go  
far to enable him to finish the Prolegomena  
to Tischendorf without anxiety as to his  
support. I wrote to him to feel quite comfortable,  
as the movement is carried on with the utmost  
diligence.

Very truly yours

Rev. Mr. Abbott.

Philip Shaff

Incluse herewith an account for  
your draft for \$50 on 248.77 Akzident.

Nov. 20 8

My dear Mr. Shedd:

I thank you  
most heartily for your very liberal  
contribution of \$100 for the relief  
and encouragement of Dr. Gregor in  
Lipzig.

I sent him by to day's mail two  
checks, one for your \$100 (or \$17.56  
Reichsmark), and one for \$50 from  
Mr. Abbott. I sent him some already,  
and expect to send him in all \$500,  
which will keep him comfortably for  
nearly a whole year, probably till  
he has completed his Prolegomena to  
Tischendorf's Greek Testament.

I inclose the second draft as  
an account for your check.

Very truly yours

Philip Shaff

Nov. 21

My dear Doctor:

Your favor of Nov. 18 is at hand. I thank you and the Publication Committee for intrusting me with the whole matter of illustrations to Bible dictionary; but must respectfully decline it. I have already ~~arranged~~<sup>agreed</sup> more than I contemplated. I arranged the revision of the Union Bible Dictionary, and find myself charged with an entire reconstruction. I hoped to get through with my task in one year, and I fear that even with seven contributors, one of them constantly employed, I may be kept at work for two years, especially in view of the delay of the painter who was to begin in Sept. and has not yet sent me a page of proof.

What I offered to do through Rev. Mr. Jackson was to furnish you with a selection of illustrations cut out from the latest works on Egypt and Palestine, etc. for the use of the

books, subject to your final revision. One such work, Richm's Bible-lesson, I have already forwarded to Mr. Rice at his request. The illustrated edition of Tamm's Life of Christ just completed, he has probably already. Eber's Egyptian in West and East is a third, Léader's Real Life in Palest. a fourth.

I would greatly prefer to be relieved even of this selection. The matter ought to be intrusted to a biblical scholar who is also an artist and understands the technical aspects which I do not. You and Mr. Rice can do it much better than I.

The illustrations, however, ought in no case interfere with the progress of composition. If they are once selected and their size determined, space may be left on the pages and filled out afterwards. I am anxiously waiting for prof. More than two thirds of the work is ready.

With great respect

Yours  
Philip Schaff,  
S. Austin Alibone, LL.D., etc.

Nov. 22

Dear Friend.

I send you enclosed Scruada draft for \$150 (from Mr. Libby; \$100 from Dr. Thayer; \$50). The prima draft will go to Dr. Gregory by tomorrow's steamer.

I asked for two separate drafts, but the enclosed letter explains the mistake. Please replace to Dr. Thayer if you deem it necessary. As you sent me both donations, I am of course responsible to you only.

There was another art. in the Christ. Intell. for Dr. Tod, definitely over the pass. of the 3 wifewm. - leave for Cyprus! Dr. Chamber wrote a good protest. Please keep Dr. Revd. Libby from any offering.

Nov. 22

Dr. Gregory, Leipzig.

Dear Friend. To day I have the pleasure of sending you \$150 more from two friends (one gave \$100, the other \$50) for the benefit of your health and your encouragement in completing the Prolegomena.

Please haste, and believe me

very sincerely yours

Philip Shaff

Nov 26

8

My dear Friend,

A few days ago I  
sent you a check of \$50 from President  
Welling of Washington for Mr. Gregory,  
in Leipzig, but have received no draft.  
I fear my letter has miscarried.

I inclose the letter of Pres. Welling which  
may lead to the discovery. Please return  
it.

Your last letter in which you sent  
draft for \$150 was dated Nov. 21,  
but that was for \$100 draft of Dr.  
Abbot.

Truly yours

Philip Schaff

Mr. Gustav Schwab.

Nov. 27

My dear Friend:

Enclosed please  
find another check of \$100 for a  
draft to Mr. Gregory at Leipzig.  
Please send me *specia & seruanda*.  
Also a separate draft for the \$50  
from Pres. Welling about which I wrote  
you yesterday. That check seems to  
have misrouted. But it cannot be lost.

The full name of Gregory is  
Dr. Caspar René' Gregory.

This charity has been most prompt  
and cheerful and exceeds my expectation.  
He has now received aid enough to feel  
comfortable and encouraged to complete  
the Prolegomena to Tischendorf's large Greek  
Testament.

Yours truly

Mr. Gustav Schaub

Philip Schaff

Nov. 27

My dear Mr. Abbot:

I acknowledge the  
receipt of your letter of Nov. 26 with check  
of \$100 from Mr. George W. Childs of  
Philadelphia, secured through Mr. Knauth.

I shall send the check at once to  
Mr. Gustav Schaub (agent of the  
Bremen Line of Steamers) to be turned  
into a draft, and shall forward the draft  
to Mr. Gregory by next Saturday's  
Steamer.

The Gregory fund now amounts to  
\$575 - including \$75 not yet received  
and forwarded but pledged.

This I think is quite enough to put Mr.  
Gregory on a comfortable & cheerful basis,  
for completing the Prolegomena of Tischendorf.

Very truly yours,

Prof. J. Bro. Abbot. Philip Schaff  
Cambridge.

Nov. 27

My dear Sir:

I received from you a check of \$50 for the benefit of Dr. Gregory at Leipzig. I sent it at once with my endorsement to Mr. J. Schwab, the agent of the Bremen Steamer, to be turned into a draft in favor of Dr. Gregory. Having not heard from him for several days I addressed him a letter of inquiry to which the enclosed is a reply. It will explain itself. Please comply with the request.

The charity for Dr. Gregory's exceedingly prompt & cheerful an- resulted in making up a sum of \$575 (including your \$50) which will make him quite comfortable.

Yours truly  
President James C. Bell, Washington.  
Philip Schaff

Nov. 30

My dear Dr. Gregory:

By to day; steamer I send you a draft of \$100 or  $417 \frac{54}{100}$  Reichsmark, in addition to the previous contributions towards your bodily and spiritual comfort and encouragement. May it safely reach you. I am afraid that one of the prima drafts has gone to the bottom of the sea with the Sonnenrhein, but I shall send you the second as soon as I ascertain the facts from your receipt.

The Bible Revision Committee is in session just now. Mrs. Moseley and Abbott join me in kind regards to you.

Very sincerely yours,

Philip Schaff  
Dr. Casper René' Gregory.

42 Bible House  
New York, Dec 8, 1878.

My dear Sir:

Finally after long and vexatious delay the first volume of the Popular Commentary is ready for publication, and the work will now be energetically carried on to its completion.

I therefore request you to finish your part of the work, namely

the Commentary on

as soon as possible and to forward the manuscript or as much of it as you may have ready at once to

Messrs. T. & T. Clark, Edinburgh,  
who will have it set up without unnecessary delay.

I have the pleasure of forwarding you through Messrs. Scribner's Sons a copy of the work which must serve a sample for all the rest as to arrangement, treatment and size.

The division into four small volumes instead

of two large ones has been adopted merely for the sake of convenience, and does not alter the original plan and extent of the commentary. You are especially requested to study the utmost brevity and condensation consistent with completeness.

Please acknowledge the receipt of the volume and inform me at what time you expect to be ready for the printer.

Believe me, very faithfully Yours,

Philip Schaff

Circular letter addressed to the following contributors to the Popular Commentary on the New Testament.

Rev. Dr. Middle, M'Graw, Moulton, Brown, Lawson, Scouer,  
Dantz, Drs. Plumptre, Wyke, Angus, Gleng, Calauond,  
Dope.

Also to Messrs. Guyot, W. M. Thomson & W. H. Thompson.

<sup>16</sup>

<sup>3</sup>

17.

Circular Letter to the N. Y. Bible Review, British &  
American - about 50 copies.

Dec. 1,

My dear Sir:

I take great pleasure  
in sending you, through Messrs. Scribner's  
 Sons, a copy of my  
 Popular Commentary on the New Testament.  
 This work was projected and begun years ago, but  
 was delayed again and again by obstacles over  
 which I had no control. It will now be  
 vigorously prosecuted to its completion.

I hope you will find time to examine  
 the Commentary and to give me the benefit  
 of your judgment and advice.

Believe me, very faithfully yours

Philip Schaff

Post Office & P. O. Box No. 1000, Boston,  
Mass. — about 80 miles.

Dec. 7

8

My dear Sir:

Your draft must have been received by Mr. Schurz and included in a receipt for \$200 for money remitted from Detroit. The second draft covers all my receipts; the two people have gone to Leipzig, in Leipzig.

I sent him up to date, \$500. Today I forward him \$45 more, and in a few days I hope to send him \$50 more. This will make in all \$595 ready. I don't think it put him on a comfortable & cheerful basis.

It is a difficult duty to  
act in the name of Pres. Noyes  
and others.

Very truly yours

Philip Hoff

Post Office & P. O. Box No. 1000, Boston,  
Mass. — about 80 miles.

Dec. 7

8

My dear Friend:

Enclosed I send you \$45 more for Dr. Caspar René Gregory, Leipzig.  
Please send me two drafts, one for \$20 & one for \$25.

I received Mrs. Bellings' letter, but I believe his check was received and included in the draft of 835 Pfennig which was to be divided in two separate drafts.

I shall not trouble you now any more with this affair and thank you most cordially for your agency in transmitting these sums so cheerfully and promptly contributed by a German scholar to a worthy fellow scholar in Germany.

Yours truly  
Philip Hoff  
Mr. Foster & Company

Dec. 10

My dear Dr. Abbot:

I have just sent to Dr. Gregory two more drafts, one for \$20 (from Mr. Hopper) and one for \$25 (from myself). This makes the whole amount forwarded thus far \$345. If Dr. Curtis sends his contribution of \$50 which he no doubt will do and perhaps has done already through some other channel or directly, the amount contributed for the health and comfort of our literary friend in Leipzig will be \$595. This is more than I expected and will help him a great way.

I enclose a letter from Mr. Schewel which will explain to you the last time's actions, including the return of the check of President Bellamy.

Truly yours Philip Schaff

Dec. 10

My dear Dr. Gregory:

I enclose \$65 in drafts on Messrs. Frege & Co, Leipzig, being additional contributions from two sources for your health and comfort. I have the sum of \$50 more which I shall transmit to you as soon as I receive them. These will swell the whole amount to \$595.

I hope the previous checks have all arrived safely arrived. If not, I shall send you the second draft.

I am happy to add that nearly every person approached has contributed promptly and cheerfully. I wish I were at liberty to mention the names, but I am forbidden.

Hoping to hear from you soon,  
I am very truly

Yours  
Philip Schaff

Dec. 11

8

My dear Dr Hartsoft:

Although you did not answer the last letter, I put the best construction on your silence and turn it to your anxiety to prepare and complete the best practical answer in the shape of manuscript.

But the publishers press me so hard for this only remaining volume of Lange's Commentary which is suffering all round from delay, that you must forgive me for writing again, and suggesting whether perhaps I may come to your relief if you feel inclined to interest ~~an~~ another of Number, who like you may entrust the work to a competent hand such as Dr. Gowan (the editor of *Akatenomeny*) or Dr. Horne (the ed. of *Israh*).

I would of course greatly prefer you do the whole if you can at all do it within a reasonable time. It would leave

more unity. But rather than wait indefinitely ~~to~~ and the responds as long as the growing dissatisfaction of the publishers, printer, & reader-of-large, would arrede to the alternative of a division of the task if it falls in with your own wishes and views.

Please inform me of your decision at your earliest convenience.

Very truly  
Yours,

Philip Shaff

The Rev. Prof. Hartsoft, D.D.  
Lancaster, Ct.

Rev. Edwin W. Rice, Ph.D.

Mr. 12

8

Dear Sir:

I just received  
cuts of Abédon's Tour (as it is  
called, not Pillar) & Abraham's  
Book. Both are good & are herewith returned.

The proof comes so fast & the infe-  
fctions are so many that our good  
Mr Jackson is overwhelmed and finds  
it impossible to master the situation.

I have therefore engaged another  
student to devote his whole time to  
the work at a regular salary, &  
I have just set him to work on  
Letter H. This at once doubles my weekly expense.

Some one must represent the unity  
in this work & be responsible for final  
corrections, completion of alphabet,  
order, I know no one better than  
you, or Mr. Hillhouse. I rely on

you especially in your own department.  
I find quite a number of typographical  
errors, i.e. in Alexandria 60,000 inhabitants  
<sup>over</sup> instead of 200,000. There are there 50000  
(See Bädeker's Egypten, 1877, vol. 2, p. 233.)  
Fam is alone. Do you use the latest  
Gazetteers, and the latest editions of  
such handbooks as Bädeker & Murray?  
We must be up to the very latest date in  
all matters of geography as well as oceanois.  
Otherwise the printer does admirably.  
Please read me several proofs with  
cuts as soon as made up & finally  
arranged.

I am now revising the H.B. for Letter  
B, & find a great deal more to  
add or to change than I bargained  
for. Altogether it is a formidable job  
which disturbs me too much in my work  
& comfort. But we are in for it & must  
work it through the best way we can.  
Yours truly W. Abbott

Dec. 18 8

Dear Son: I was glad to receive your letter  
and also the Ms. of Dictionary for Letter I.  
Please finish Letter H as soon as convenient.  
Painting has begun & goes on rapidly. I wish to have  
it out of my way by spring. I will pay you  
at the time. The £20 sent for Smith are not  
yet paid at the P.O. Send us more money that  
way; it is troublesome to get it.

I send you to-morrow a box for Christmas,  
which I am just packing up, with the aid of faithful  
Allen. You will find in it a cloak, a morning gown,  
several things from Mad. Mary, and from me 1 copy  
of the Popular Commentary, just published, as a Christ-  
mas gift, also 6 copies of Bible Lands for  
which you need not pay. I am glad if you like to  
translate it. The price is £2.25 per copy.  
But I could get it for you for £1.75.

We are all well. I am as busy as ever & enjoy work.  
Affectionately yours Eliza Schaff  
Rev. Dr. Wm. S. Badger

Dec. 18 8

My dear Mr. Riley:

The Ms.  
which I received today from Mr. Dodd is  
simply the printed Ms. which I sent  
to your late husband, my dear friend,  
for revision & reconstruction. It contains  
only two unfinished lines from his pen.  
But I am sure he wrote some articles  
or at least one article on the Kingdom  
of God, which he read to me and which  
he desired should take the place of  
the one in the Union Bible Dictionary.  
Please sent them off and send  
them home at once. I would like to  
use all he did for this work and to  
pay you for it as I would have  
paid him.

Yours truly  
Eliza Schaff

Rev. P. W. Rice.

Dec. 24, 8.

My dear Friend:

To day I send you last lot of second proof to p. 14, with some additional corrections. Also selection of earliest photographs of Frith for your department.

You must look out carefully in the selection of illustrations and of maps, so as to avoid violation of copy rights. I am not responsible for any such collision. I carefully indicate the sources foreign or domestic from which I desire those I send to you, and leave the final action with you. We must especially avoid collision with the Tract Society (whose illustrations in their Bible Dict. are of superior artistic execution) deal with the Harper's except where they were copied from English originals. Perhaps it might be safer to secure duplicates from them & pay them a reasonable price.

I find as the work progresses, that it makes a heavy draft on my eyes, my time & my strength. I cannot let one article pass without examination. I regret that I retained so much of the art. Aaron, Abraham, Adam, etc. from the old Dictionary. This revision sometimes takes as much or more time than the original composition. I dislike working back.

Mr. Jackson could not keep up with the painter. Nor could I without additional aid. I have therefore employed a competent scholar, (the late Dr. Elmer Peterson (the best assistant editor of Johnson's Cyclop.) at \$10 to \$20 per week (according to time) and shall keep him till we are through, which I hope will be in spring. So you need not fear an interruption as long as I keep well.

I wish your assistant would read my Bible Land. He will find much matter for articles on Alexandria, Beirut, Bethlehem, Jerusalem, etc. etc., & save me trouble in time. With the best wishes of the season. Yours truly G. H. Lang

Jan. 15 9

Dr. Allibone,  
Secretary A. S. S. Union.

My dear Sir:

In compliance with your kind suggestion at our recent interview in Philadelphia, I venture to lay before the Board of Directors of the Am. Sunday Union the following communication.

When I undertook the revision of the Union Bible Dictionary I had no idea of the amount of time and labor it would involve. I soon found that an entire reconstruction amounting almost to a new work will be necessary to bring the book up to the present standard of biblical scholarship. In this opinion all my collaborators agree.

The subsequent request of the Committee that I should add every title mentioned in the Bible, and assist you and our friend the Rev. E. W. Rice in the selection of illustrations & maps, adds considerably to the task as well as the size of the book.

In order to facilitate this work and to give it greater variety and value than I could do myself, I distributed several departments among

specialists, and engaged at the same time a year ago the constant services of a competent young scholar, to aid me in editing the whole under my immediate supervision. Since the printing began, in December last, I found it necessary to engage another permanent assistant who is at work every day in my study.

The revision and supervision of the work, the reading of proof and the necessity of rewriting or re-writing many of the most important articles, is very heavy tax on my eyes, my time and strength; but I am anxious to carry the Dictionary through the press before the summer vacation, and shall keep my assistance until the whole is completed.

Four of my contributors who have assumed special departments are promised their pro-rata compensation (about \$1. per page) when their portion is finished. The two assistant editors I pay a regular salary by the week. The amount actually paid or pledged, already exceeds the appropriation of \$1000 promised me by the Society, and my whole expense will probably run up in the end to nearly \$2000.

I do not feel called upon to make a pecuniary sacrifice, in addition to my time and strength which I need greatly for all the use I can make of it. And yet, knowing the heavy expense of getting up the plates of the Bible Dictionary, I do not like to tax the Society by way of additional outlay in cash.

I therefore respectfully suggest to the consideration of the Directors whether they do not deem it right and just to themselves as well as to me to allow me, in addition to the appropriation stipulated, the usual American copy money often per cent on the retail price for each copy sold. I ask it only for a period of ten years after which I propose to relieve the Society of all further claim upon it.

In making this proposition, I would not stand in the way to any other arrangement which the Directors might be desirous and able to make with another competent scholar or scholars. On the contrary, I should feel greatly relieved if they allow me to withdraw from a task which proves to be <sup>a</sup> much heavier burden than I had anticipated.

If any further explanation should be necessary, you and Mr. Rice from your intimate knowledge of the work in which we are jointly engaged, are abundantly able to give it.

With great respect,

I am very truly yours,

Philip Schaff

Jan. 16 9

My dear Friend.

I enclosed I send  
you check for \$15 for the benefit  
of your health. Dr. Curtis informed  
me that he sent you \$50 directly.

This closes my efforts for your relief,  
but not my interest. Whenever you need help again,  
please let me know.

I send you in all, I believe, \$460.  
Please send me a total receipt at your  
leisure. I hold the seconda draft, in case  
any of the former drafts should have been  
lost.

You will be shocked to hear of the sudden  
death of Mr. J. Blair Scribner, the keen  
young head of the large firm, Scribner & Sons.  
I accompanied him yesterday to his last  
resting place in Woodlawn Cemetery  
near my own residence.

I received your letter and am glad  
that you are thinking of the Am. ed.  
of Tischendorf's Greek Testament. But  
the plan must be carefully considered. This  
is not worth while to add another little major  
edition, unless it has some new features,  
e.g. all the readings of Ti which differ  
from the text. v. e. should be in large  
bold-faced type (as Strican marks the  
text. v. e.), and the authorities for it should  
be briefly abridged in the margin. Also among  
these authorities should be Karkom. Regell.  
Ag. Mantell &c. H., and especially also  
the New T. Recd. <sup>from Ingolst.</sup> which will probably be  
finished in a year. The reading of the text. v.  
should also be given. You better confer with  
Prof. Abbott before you decide the question.

Very truly yours Philip Schaff  
No. 2120 Park Avenue

Jan. 27 9

My dear Doctor Allibone:

Your favor of Jan. 25 informs me that my proposition of Jan. 15 in regard to copy money of Bible Dictionary, viz. ten per cent on the retail price for ten years, in addition to the stipulated sum of \$1000 towards actual expenses, met the approval of the Committee?

This concludes our business arrangement to mutual satisfaction. I shall do my best in connection with my assistants to make the Dictionary worthy of its high aim.

Very respectfully

Yours

Philip Schaff

S. Austin Allibone, LL.D.  
Secretary Am. S. S. Union.

(2)  
March 8 9

My dear Dr Gregory:

Indeed I send you a letter from our friend Dr Abbott making a proposal for the joint editorship of a critical edition of the Great Testament for Anglo-American use. I had also a personal conference with him recently on the subject and with Mr Charles Scribner.

I regard Dr. Abbott and yourself by far the most competent scholars of America for preparing such an edition; I approve in general of the plan proposed by Dr. Abbott; I am willing to have the work published as a part of my Theological Library in the place of the edition I had received from Dr Fischbecker of which you know was actually begun and only interrupted by his death. Mr. Scribner is willing to run the risk of publication and offer

the editors 7 $\frac{1}{2}$  per cent of the retail price  
for each copy sold provided he can  
get ~~the~~ a copublisher in London. I hope  
he may get receive an affirmative answer soon.

It will be quite necessary, however, for success  
to consider two suggestions which I venture  
to submit to your & Dr Abbot's consideration  
and final adjustment:

1. The work must have a sufficient number of  
original and important features both in the arrange-  
ment of the text and in the contents of the critical  
apparatus to justify its publication along side of  
Tischendorf's *Editio Critica Minor ex VIII Major  
descumpto*, & the forthcoming ed. of Westcott & Hort.

2. The critical apparatus ought to be condensed  
as much as possible so as to diminish the cost of  
composition and to keep the price of the book within  
the reach of theological students.

With these suggestions, I leave the matter  
entirely in your hands and those of Dr. Abbot.  
You will divide the labor among yourselves  
as you deem best. Dr Abbot prefers the work  
to be stereotyped in Cambridge under his own  
eye. I agree with him. You wrote me that  
Messrs. Giessner & Deorient do not hold me  
responsible for the compilation of the ed. of  
Tischendorf begun by them. This is a relief  
to me.

And now, I hope you will not overwork  
yourself and give up the church work at  
once. You owe it to yourself and your friends  
to take care of your health.

A German friend desires to translate my Bible  
Reads. Would you be kind enough to show your copy  
to Alzinger or Klessing & ask them whether they would  
publish a German ed. & allow consulting to the  
translator? Truly yours Philip Schaff  
McClure, Perry, & Co.

March 20 9

My dear Mr. Rice:

I rec'd today \$500  
from Mr. Perkins on behalf of Bible Publishing,  
one half of the sum or more having been  
delivered. Letters H to O are forwarded.

I send you at once a check for \$75  
and an order for \$50, making \$125, or  
one half of what I owe you for contributions  
according to agreement. I suppose Mr. Perkins  
will pay you the \$50 at once if you explain  
to him what was understood between you, Dr.  
Whitson & myself, that I should be allowed  
\$50 extra for the purchase ~~cost~~ of illus-  
trated books to be cut up for the illustration depart-  
ment, forwarded to Philadelphia.

I would like to send you more, but I have  
already spent about \$1500 on my office help, or lack  
of outside assistance, a poor bargain for me.

Very truly yours Philip Schaff  
Rev. P. W. Rice, Friend.

April 12 9

My dear Sir:

I am happy to inform you that Mr. Scribner has concluded to publish your Com. on the Apocrypha as an appendix of the Lange's Bible Work, to be sold at the same time separately for \$5, like the other volumes. He was to write to you about the commercial part.

As to the editorial part I can only repeat what I said orally to you. The chief points are two:

1. You must conform your commentary to the general plan of my edition of Lange, and direct the printer to carry out your the same system of typography. For example, the Homiletical & Doctrinal departments which are not needed in the Apocr., in their place may be substituted an occasional historical excursion, unless

the introduction should supersede it.

You will have then 1½ feet with endnotes.

- (2) Textual & grammatical in very small type.
- (3) Preterital Notes, with or without historical excursions in large.

2. Give the best critical edition of the Authorized English Version (which I suppose is that of Scrivener in the "Cambridge Paragraph Bible," 1870) and insert your corrections in brackets, as in the other large vols., or if you much prefer it for convenience, in the textual notes. The uncorrected translation is to be made the basis in the Eng. department. Print poetry (The Song of the Three Children, Processions, & Urim & Tummim, the Prayer at the close of Tobit) as poetry, following Scrivener.

Mr. Scribner is very anxious that you should condense your commentary as much as possible and keep it

written 850 pages. I think this is very moderate.  
Conciliation is a work, and the book will  
be more used if it is free from all superfluous  
ballast. Yet the book must be complete, and  
I know well enough that literary number  
cannot be measured by the yard stick.  
I know that you will do the best you can,  
and more it would be unreasonable to expect.

With these suggestions I give you perfect  
liberty to carry out your own ideal of a  
first class critical commentary on the Apocrypha.

I hope you will be able to carry it through  
the press for early publication next fall.

With great respect

Yours truly

Philip Schaff

Rev. S. C. Bionell

35 Warren St. Boston.

I find that in my reconstruction of Lange's John, published in 1871 (before I had any connection with the English Revision Committee) about four fifths of the changes of our Revision are anticipated & incorporated in brackets, and I know that this work of mine has been freely used by English Revision. But I bear no claim on that; on the contrary I will most cheerfully pay - as I told you last year at Oxford - a reasonable sum for the use of the revised New Testament in this country & in England in the remaining 3 vols. of my Commentary or in any subsequent edition. I am sure that instead of interfering with the sale of the University edition, it will increase the demand for them, which is evident. But this of course does not diminish my obligation and desire to do the University all possible justice.

Will you done the great kindness to ask the authorities of the Press to take this request of mine into consideration, and to let me know the result at your earliest convenience.

Believe me, most truly yours,  
Richard Bullock Price, Oxford. Phil. Schaff

New York, Dec. 27, 1879.

My dear Sir:

I enclose an official letter of thanks to the authorities of the University Presses for their liberal donation of a thousand copies of the first issue of the Revised New Testament for gratuitous distribution among my wife friends and slaves. This is much more than we expected. We would cheerfully have paid the whole extra price. But the donation will enable us to expend more money on the binding. The import duty also is considerable (25 per cent).

I sent a copy of the official letter to Mr. C. J. Clay at Cambridge.

And now permit me to answer your private letter of Nov. 8<sup>th</sup> referring to my request concerning the use of the revised New Testament as the text for my Popular Commentary. You

say that the resolution of the Delegates and  
Lyndins to throw the Revision open to American  
competition as is the case now with King James' <sup>could</sup>  
Bible (a resolution which is remarkably very  
generous, considering that <sup>you</sup>, ~~you~~ <sup>we</sup> undoubtedly  
a very profitable arrangement with our <sup>at</sup> ~~our~~ <sup>the</sup> ~~the~~  
Publishing house), leaves me free to use the revised  
text after its publication, but not in England  
except by special arrangement and on condition of  
pecuniary compensation. This is just what I mean  
to pay the University Presses a reasonable sum  
for the use of the revised text of the New Testa-  
ment in my Popular Commentary which is a  
joint enterprise of Messrs. Scribner's Sons  
in New York & Messrs. T. & T. Clark, Edin-  
burgh. If Mr. Clark objects to pay I will  
pay it myself. He complains that he has  
only sold 50 copies of the first volume and regards  
the book as a failure so far. But no matter in the  
want of success, I desire as a matter of honor  
to make a clear & distinct arrangement with the  
University both for the partial use of the revision in

Marginal notes in the present edition, and the full  
revised text in a <sup>cheap</sup> popular edition if such a one should  
be needed, to enable to tell the plan of the authorized version.

You know from the prospectus printed in  
the first volume that the Commentary on the N. T.  
will be the work of British Dr. Standard Bible  
Revisors, such as Drs. Angus, Brown,  
Milligan, Moulton, Riddle, Lumby, &  
myself. These contributors were directed by  
me in circular & private letter to use freely  
the textual emendations in my edition of  
Lange's Commentary (now completed in 24  
vols.), which are very numerous. Alford is the  
Revised version of Noyes, Axford, Davidson,  
etc., and to keep clear of collision with the  
new version, but to <sup>retain</sup> ~~keep~~ <sup>return</sup> ~~return~~ to the <sup>old</sup> ~~old~~  
Dr. Standard of the Authorized B. V. Now it  
is simply impossible for Revisors to avoid numerous  
concordances unless they do their own work,  
and disregard the principle of revision. The second  
volume on John or Acts is now <sup>by Dr. Milligan & Moulton</sup> nearly ready, but  
can be kept back till an arrangement can be

With knowledge on my part is concerned, I am entirely  
conscious of any infringement on the rights of others.  
Were it otherwise, I would have to hide my face  
in shame.

I am sure, in view of our pleasant relation in years  
past, you will sympathize with me in this serious  
trial and give me the benefit of my intelligent  
protest against the charge which has been evidently  
raised against my good name without even giving  
me <sup>first</sup> an opportunity of an explanation.

Although I marked this letter Private, you  
are at liberty to make such use of it as you  
may deem best.

I embrace this opportunity to assure  
you of my profound respect with which  
I am.

Your Lordship's  
obedient servant

Philip May  
The Rt Rev. Lord Bishop of Gloucester

Private

Jan. 7 80

My Lord Bishop:

I have just received a  
communication from Prof. Thayer,<sup>(date Jan. 3)</sup> which names  
me as the guilty party—if there is any guilt in the  
case—<sup>of literary piracy</sup> the effort that you had informed him  
of the serious charge, raised against me by the University  
Press.

I had previously received a letter from Mr. Clark  
inclining one from Messrs. Price & Clay who named  
him against desiring the publication of my Pop. Com.  
on the ground of piracy. I have just completed  
an explanatory statement accompanied by one  
from my fellow-writer Mr. Riddle which I trust  
will exonerate me entirely of that most serious  
charge. I sent these statements to the post office  
today for tomorrow's steamer hoping that the  
whole matter may be quietly and friendly  
arranged between Mr. Clark & the University  
Press.

Post your letter to Prof. Thayer will bring

the difficulty into our Am. Revision Committee,  
as it seems to be ~~already~~ <sup>about</sup> before you.

This I regret on account of the trouble &  
it ~~entails~~ <sup>would</sup> both if they have to go into an investi-  
gation, but it may be best for me in the end.

I will not detain you at this stage of the  
proceedings, with any lengthy statement, but  
if you will permit me briefly to say,

1. The Prop. Com. projected 30 years ago  
was seriously begun in 1869 with Mr Riddle  
who then went to Germany to devote himself exclusively  
to the first volume with the aid of my written &  
printed contributions, and in 1871 I made similar  
arrangements with several English scholars, members  
of the Revision Com., for contributions. I took you  
into the secret and had the benefit of your judicious  
counsel for which I shall always feel grateful.

2. Mr. Riddle who had fully co. aided me in  
the Lang. enterprise (Romans, Gal., Ephes. & Coloss.)  
and is now an active & valuable member of the Am. T.  
Company of Revisors, was diverted to make full &  
free use of the very extensive textual & critical  
Notes and emendations which I had previously prepared

for the Augst.-Am. ed. of Lange on Matthew (1869),  
Luke (1866) & John (1871), but to transfer all  
my alternate readings from the text in brackets to the  
foot of the page. On a basis of the text I furnished  
him with two copies of the standard ed. of the  
Am. Bible exactly, with the direction to reduce the  
original readings to a selection of real parallels.  
Mr. John was ~~to~~ <sup>also</sup> ~~you~~ intended to Drs. Miller  
and Moulton with the same direction, and I sent  
the copy of my Commentary on John. Dean Howson  
& Caron ~~have~~ undertaken Acts; and I respectfully  
desire to let them have a copy of the Revision of  
Acts.

Now it so happens that by far the most important  
textual emendations were anticipated in my edition  
of Lange's <sup>ed.</sup> on Riddle's <sup>John</sup> Affairs, and I found it  
was the case in several sections which I had time  
to examine. I, of course, took that for granted from  
the start, and warned Mr. R. closely, Lange  
& his previous revisors (such as Dean Alford,  
Vugge, etc.) and avoided all collision with the  
official revision. His Ms. was substantially finished in 1871  
and copied by Mr. Riddle. I can vouch for part  
3. As far then as intention, arrangement and

New York, January 6. 1880.

My dear Mr. Clark:

You letter of Dec 16, including a communication from Messrs. Price and Clay, dated Dec 13. 1879, and warning you in the name of the University Presses to withdraw from sale your edition of the first volume of my Popular Commentary, has taken me by surprise and engaged my immediate attention. Fortunately the charge on which the action of the University Presses is based, namely "the alternate renderings which are given on the foot of the page are almost wholly taken from a certain periodically reviewed version prepared by the Company of the Revision of the New Testament etc. is entirely without foundation" and the printed proof against it can be found in your or any other good bookstore.

A superficial comparison of our Popular Commentary with the Anglo American Revision alone would naturally lead to that conclusion, but a comparison of the former with older publications, especially with the very numerous textual emendations of my edition of Gangis Commentary, the New Testament part of which (except Revelation) was published by Messrs. Scribner's yourself before the American Revision Committee had an existence, will lead to an entirely different result, consistent with the strictest honor on

my part. I have no doubt that the Authorities of the University <sup>to</sup> will learn the facts of the case and will make the Amende honorable and save you from serious loss, for which you have a right to hold me responsible, as you are entirely innocent. I do not suppose there is a more honorable and high-minded corporation than the Delegates and Friends of the University Trustees, and the only surprise to me is that they did not first ask me for an explanation, if not in common courtesy at least on account of my official relations to them.

I have been for several years in friendly correspondence with them as President of the American Bible Revision Committee, and as soon as the first volume of the Illustrated Popular Commentary was published in New York, I sent complimentary copies to Professor Juxon in Oxford and Dr. Cartmell, Cambridge, and to all the members of the British and American New Testament Revision Companies. I received no complaint whatever, but kind and complimentary acknowledgments from most of them. The Bishop of Winchester, who is chairman of the Old Testament Company, the Bishop of Gloucester & Bristol who is chairman of the New Testament Company, and other divines gave you express permission to make public use in England of their favorable judgment of the first volume by different <sup>means</sup>, consistent with the strict honor in

of the Popular Commentary. Last summer I addressed a communication to the University Presses asking their permission to substitute in a cheap edition the revised text after its publication for the authorized version, with notes, on such terms as they might desire, and in their reply of Oct. 13. 1874, there is no intimation of any wrong doing. I was therefore quite unprepared for such a communication as you send me. Could you kindly proceed to state the facts in the case which are necessary to form a correct judgment.

1. My "Popular Commentary" was projected thirty years ago and specimens of it were published with a new English and German Version in the "Morning Star" and in my "Deutsch Kirchenfreund" from 1845 to 1861. It was laid aside when I undertook a English reproduction and continuation of Dr. Lange's "Bible Work", with a large corps of contributors. The first volume of the American Edition was published in 1864, and the whole work is now completed in 24 large volumes except a supplement, any volume on the Apocrypha which is more passing through the press. Dr. Lange gives a new German Version; my contributors were directed to retain the Authorized English Version, but to insert all necessary or desirable emendations in brackets, and to discuss them for the English Reader in notes which constitute an original and separate department in his edition.

... a Biblical scholar, received from a prominent valuer of his  
writing, and with the same <sup>as</sup> the Gospel of Matthew.  
English, prepared by the Society since the Gospel of Matthew,  
which was published in 1881, the first chapter of Luke, which  
published in 1908, the Gospel of John 1891, and (midway, 1893)  
Riddle) the Epistle to the Romans, 1889. In these volumes I  
devoted a great deal of labor and care to the critical de-  
partment and textual revisions. Whole pages were taken up  
with critical notes on textual and grammatical points. I could  
not go through such dredging again for any publications  
that I know that an international Revision Committee  
and to be organized. I could not have thought it would have  
done the Greek text I used the texts and apparatus of  
Seach-Sommer, Schindler and Trigellus, and in the Gospel of  
John advanced sheets of Nestle & Hort (with their kind  
permission). In the emendation of the English Version, I have  
consulted, besides the best Commentaries, the older English  
translations, and the modern revisions of George,  
Murdock, etc., Ryndale and the American Bible Union, etc.  
I am afraid that the American Bible Union, etc.,  
know it so hotly, as you may expect that from those  
other volumes of mine as edited in independent English form  
a large number of the most important changes of the R36  
Review Committee have been omitted. Omitted and fellow

in 1869 I visited the headquarters of the Popular Conventions  
and counted the emendations in several chapters of John and  
Romans, and found that on an average, about four fifths  
of the emendations were according the home. Some members  
of the English Review Committee to whom I had pre-  
sented copies of some volumes of Lange, which I was  
leaving on the table in the General Chamber, and they  
Chapter Library, were kind as to say to me that I had  
done "immense service to Bible Revision and Biblical  
learning" by editing that work. It is not likely that  
I would have been honored with the delicate task of  
organizing the Cooperative General Committee of Revi-  
sion had I not been known to the English Committee from  
previous labor in this very department. I am reluctantly  
compelled to speak of myself, in self-defense.

In view of these facts I beg leave to submit that the  
true way of testing the merits of the textual revision  
in the Popular Commentary is to compare it with the  
official revision alone, but also with the criticism of the  
corresponding portions of my edition of Lange which were  
prepared before and which have been of no little use  
to the Bible Revision Committee in England and in  
America.

and forwarded a resolution of the Popular Convention  
in 1869 & invited the cooperation first of Dr. Middlekauf  
representing the people of the Mississippi Valley, the  
Rev. Mr. G. W. Smith, Dr. J. C. Chapman, Dr. W. L. Smith  
of the Greenbackers, and also a number of other individuals  
of the Socialist, Radical Committee to collect funds and  
organize a national Anti-Slavery League of the two parties.  
Dr. Middlekauf, Dr. Middlekauf and his wife  
the Rev. Mr. Smith and the Rev. Mr. Chapman  
and Dr. W. L. Smith, were the principal  
contributors to the Anti-Slavery League.  
The Anti-Slavery League  
was organized in the city of New York,  
and Dr. Middlekauf was elected President  
and Dr. W. L. Smith Vice-President.  
The Anti-Slavery League  
was organized in the city of New York,  
and Dr. Middlekauf was elected President  
and Dr. W. L. Smith Vice-President.